

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,607	10/23/2001	Lino Tavares	208.1004US	1029
7590 07/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC			GHALI, ISIS A D	
14th Floor 485 Seventh Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10018			1615	
		DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,607	TAVARES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isis Ghali	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	A parto quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 10					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11,13,14,16,20,22-24,29,30,32-38 and 40-49</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11,13,14,16,20, 22-24,29,30,32-38 and 40-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

**Art Unit: 1615** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledged of applicants' amendment, filed 05/16/2005.

The finality of the previous Office action mailed 07/28/2005 is hereby withdrawn.

Claims 1-7, 12, 15, 17-19, 21, 25-28, 31 and 39 have been canceled. Claims 46-49 have been added

Claims 8-11, 13, 14, 16, 20, 22-24, 29, 20, 32-28, and 40-49 are included in the prosecution.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1615

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 8-11, 13, 14,16, 20-24, 29, 30, 32-38, and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,910,205 ('205) combined with US 5,968,547 ('547).

The present claims 8-11, 13, 14,16 and 47 are directed to method of treating seasonal allergy or chronic urticaria comprising administering to the patient a transdermal delivery system containing loratedine, and the claims recite broad plasma levels and release rates as implied by the term "about". Claims 20-24, 29, 30, 32-38, 45 and 48 are directed to transdermal delivery system containing loratedine and provides broad plasma levels and release rates as implied by the term "about". Claims 46 and 49 are directed to method of treating seasonal allergy or chronic urticaria comprising administering to the patient a transdermal delivery system containing loratedine wherein the device comprises reservoir layer consisting essentially of 20-90% polymer, 0.1-30% softening agent, 0.1-20% loratedine and 0.1-30% solvent, and the claims recite broad plasma levels and release rates as implied by the term "about".

US '205 teaches a transdermal delivery system of loratadine for the treatment of allergic conditions (abstract). The system is formed of patch applied to skin for a specific period of time to permit the penetration of a desired amount of loratadine through the skin. The patch will be worn from one to four days and provides a total daily dose of 0.5 to 5 mg (col.2, lines 28-34). The patch comprises a reservoir having 10-20% loratadine; 50-60% solvent; and 20-35% fatty acid esters, i.e. softening agents (col.2, lines 19-29).

Art Unit: 1615

The patch further comprises a backing layer and a release liner (col.2, line 64; col.3, line 6). The patch delivers  $0.66 \text{ mg/15 cm}^2/\text{day}$  of loratadine for the formulation comprising loratadine, solvent and skin softener (Table I). The reference disclosed that the dose may be varied depending on the size and age of the patient, and may also depends upon the severity of the condition being treated (col.3, lines 56-60). The frequency of dosage application can be once every 3 days to once every 7 days (col.4, lines 5-10). The claimed delivery rates are met by the reference because the claimed rates are broadened by the term "about" and inclusive of the rates disclosed by the prior art. The prior art rate of delivery is  $0.66 \text{ mg/15 cm}^2/\text{day}$ , i.e.  $44 \mu \text{g/cm}^2/\text{day}$ , and as claimed is about  $16.2 \mu \text{g/cm}^2/\text{day}$ .

Additionally, the claimed release rates are determined by Valia-Chein cell and the prior art is silent regarding the test method and the art does not appear to rely on, or teach the test method. The Patent Office is not equipped with test facilities for result testing. Hence, the instantly claimed release rates are met by the prior art.

The reference does not teach the specific delivery profile of loratadine, the specific amounts of different ingredients, or specific structure and formulation of a transdermal device including polymer, solvents and softening agents in the transdermal delivery system.

US '547 teaches a transdermal drug delivery device for controlled delivery of drug for 3 days and maintaining the delivery for additional 2 days in accordance to the zero order kinetic of the drug (abstract). When the drug applied transdermally, it follows the pharmacokinetics to the provide its effect over prolonged period (col.4, lines 42-67,

Art Unit: 1615

col. 5, lines 1-8). The device comprises backing layer, polymeric reservoir and protective liner (col.20, lines 17-27). The reservoir comprising: 1-90% of polymeric material, 0.1-30% of the drug, 0.1-30% softener, and 0.1-30% of solvent (col.20, lines 55-60). The polymeric material of the reservoir is pressure sensitive adhesive and contains rubber, silicone or block-copolymers (col.18, lines 55-65). The solvents used include those contain at least one acidic group, particularly, monoesters of dicarboxylic acids, such as monomethyl glutarate and monomethyl adipate (col.20, lines 5-10). The softeners include medium chain triglycerides of the caprylic/capric acids or coconut oil, undecanol, octanol, and dodecanol (col.19, lines 58-68). The backing is laminate of polymer and aluminum foil (col.18, lines 25-30).

It is evident from the disclosure of US '547 that the when the drug is included in the described transdermal device, the drug follows and is delivered according to its pharmacokinetics for period of 5 days as desired by applicants. The structure and formulation of the reservoir of the present transdermal device are identical to that of US '547. Applicants disclosed on the paragraph bridging page 23 and 24 that the pharmacokinetic information for oral loratadine is available in the literature and a release rate for a loratadine transdermal delivery system was calculated from the available data. Applicants also admit on page 24, first full paragraph that any type of transdermal delivery system may be used in accordance with the methods of the present invention so long as the desired pharmacokinetic are attained over at least 3 days to about 8 days.

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, having available within hands the disclosure of US '205 that teaches loratedine delivered transdermally and US '547 that teaches drug delivery rate over 3-5 days following the pharmacokinetics of the drug and is attained by specific structure and formulation of a transdermal drug delivery system, along with the pharmacokinetics of loratedine, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have designed transdermal drug delivery device to deliver loratedine as disclosed by US '205 and use the device disclosed by US '547 and would calculate the transdermal release rates from the available pharmacokinetic data of loratedine to achieve a transdermal delivery device having the structure and reservoir formulation comprising polymer, softener, solvent and loratedine that delivers loratedine at a delivery rate in accordance to its pharmacokinetics to treat patients suffering from allergic reactions with great success.

The determination of the relative release rate via an in-vitro permeation test utilizing a Valia-Chien cell is known in the art and it is not part of the claimed method of treating allergic rhinitis; or even a part of the transdermal device that provide particular plasma levels of loratadine. It is only an in-vitro diagnostic test that is expected to provide the same results obtained from two similar delivery devices tested under the same circumstances, and the recitation of this in-vitro test does not impart patentability to claims directed to method of treating allergic rhinitis or claims directed to transdermal device applied to patients to provide specific plasma levels of loratadine, i.e. in vivo use.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,607 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

> MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600